## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

HODELL-NATCO INDUSTRIES, INC.	) CASE NO. 1:08 CV 2755
Plaintiff,	) JUDGE WELLS
v.	ORDER
SAP AMERICA, INC., et al.	
Defendants.	) ) )
On this the day of	, 2014, upon consideration of the
SAP Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 6 to	Preclude Plaintiff and Co-Defendants from Offering
Evidence or Testimony that the IBIS Group	Was an Authorized Marketer or Distributor of
Business One, and any responses thereto, it is	s hereby <b>ORDERED</b> that said motion is
GRANTED, and that:	
1) The IBIS Group was not a res	seller of Business One;
2) The IBIS Group was not authorepresentations about Business One; and	orized to market Business One or to make
3) Hodell is precluded from offering argument, testimony, or documents at trial suggesting that any representations it received from the IBIS Group or Dale Van Leeuwen prior to IBIS's merger with LSi in May 2004 are attributable to SAP or relevant to Hodell's claims against SAP; and to the extent Hodell otherwise establishes that such evidence is admissible, the evidence is only admissible as against the IBIS Group and the jury will be instructed accordingly at trial.	
	BY THE COURT:
Dotado	
Dated:	Hon. Lesley Wells United States District Judge